



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,235	06/28/2001	Lance W. Russell	10003532-1	8674
22879	7590	08/04/2009	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2443				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM  
ipa.mail@hp.com  
jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/895,235	RUSSELL, LANCE W.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ASGHAR BILGRAMI	2443	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ASGHAR BILGRAMI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Edouard Garcia (38,461). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner pointed out 112nd issues with the newly amended independent claim 1 and also indicated that claim 29 was allowable if incorporated into claim 1. Examiner offered to address these issues via examiner's amendment and make the case allowable. Applicant's representative told the examiner to indicate these findings in the next office action. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Asghar Bilgrami/ Examiner, Art Unit 2443	/Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443
--	--